

SIX COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS: 2008 CDBG RATING AND RANKING CRITERIA

Applicant:		Requested CDBG \$'s		Ranking:		of		Total Score:	/58
SCORE									
1	Capacity to Carry Out The Grant: Applicant's capacity to administer grant: project manager consistency (1 point); documentation/communication (1 point); project completed in contract period (1 point); compliance with regulations/laws (2 points). (First-time grantee: default is 2.5 points) Possible 5 points		Excellent 5 points	Very Good 4 points	Good 3 points	Fair 2 points	Poor 0 point		
2	Job Creation: Estimated number of permanent new jobs project will create or number of jobs retained that would be lost without this project.		> 25 Jobs 8 points	15-24 Jobs 6 points	10-14 Jobs 4 points	1-9 Job 2 points			
3	LMI Housing Stock: Number of units constructed, rehabilitated, or made accessible to LMI residents		> 10 Units 10 points	5-10 Units 9 points	1-5 Units 8 points				
4	Affordable Housing Plan: Has jurisdiction addressed moderate income housing in its general plan as required by HB295/SB60? Does this project implement moderate income housing elements identified in the affordable housing plan? (applicant is required to submit their latest plan along with documentation of how project addresses an issue identified in the plan)		Yes 2 points Yes 2 Points	No 0 points No 0 points					
5	Extent of Poverty: Percent of persons considered LMI benefiting from project. (According to Census/Survey)		> 80% 4 points	71-80% 3 points	61-70% 2 points	56-60% 1 point	51 - 55% 0 point		
6	Local Leveraged Funds: How many dollars is each person in the community committing? (Local funds ÷ population).		\$401-500 5 points	\$301-400 4 points	\$201-300 3 points	\$101-200 2 points	\$1-100 1 point		
7	Other Leveraged Funds: Percentage of "other" non-CDBG funds invested in total project.		>50% 5 points	40% 4 points	30% 3 points	20% 2 points	10% 1 point		
8	Project Maturity: Detailed engineer's cost estimate; confirmed/designated project manager; specific/detailed scope of work; secured funding (possible 5 points)		Architect/Engineer Selected 1 point	Designated Project Manager 1 point	Well-defined scope 1 point	Funding Applied Not Committed 1 point	Funding in Place Or 2 points	CDBG Sole Funding 2 points	
9	Quality Growth Principles: Has jurisdiction demonstrated their desire to improve planning using quality growth principles?		Yes 1 point	No 0 points					
10	Infrastructure Development/Improvement: i.e. expansion of basic infrastructure (water, sewer) or other physical infrastructure (libraries, fire stations, parks, community center, etc.) to create suitable living environments for the persons in a community.		Water 6 points	Sewer/Storm Drainage 5 points	Public Health/Safety 4 points	Other Public Facilities 3 points	Street Sidewalks 2 points	Recreation Facilities 1 point	
11	Jurisdictions Property Tax Rate: Communities that maintain an already high tax burden will be given higher points for this category (applicants tax rate ÷ ceiling = percent of ceiling)		> 40% 5 points	31-40% 4 points	21-30% 3 points	11-20% 2 points	<10% 1 point		